

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/008,423	11/13/2001	Andrew R. Ferlitsch	10237.12	3540	
7500 0421/2008 KIRTON & MCCONKIE 1800 EAGLE GATE TOWER / 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			TIV, BA	TIV, BACKHEAN	
	P.O. BOX 45120 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84145-0120		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2151		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/008,423 FERLITSCH, ANDREW R. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit BACKHEAN TIV 2151 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on RCE filed on 1/25/08. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 12-17.20.21.24-29 and 33-49 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-11.18.19.22.23 and 30-32 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12-17,20,21,24-29 and 33-49 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsporson's Fatont Drawing Proving (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Art Unit: 2100

#### Detailed Action

Claims 12-17, 20-21, 24-29, 33-49 are pending in this application. Claims 1-11, 18-19, 22-23, 30-32 have been cancelled. This is a response to the RCE filed on 1/25/08

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 28,29,33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claim 28, recites, "broadcasting an intent to send the print job from the first client computer to **the printing** device." The limitation "the printing", there is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claims 29, 33 are rejected based on it's dependency.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12-17, 20-21, 24-29, 33,35-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,498,656 issued to Mastie in view of US

Art Unit: 2100

Publication 2002/0001495 issued to Mochizuki in further view of US Patent 5,684,931 issued to Hagar.

As per claims 12, 28,40, Mastie teaches a system that includes client computer devices connected to a printing device via a network, a method for managing a print job without use of a print server(Abstract), the method comprising:

a network(Abstract, Fig.5, col.1, lines 38-65);

a printing device connected to the network(Abstract, Fig.5, col.1, lines 38-65);

a local print queue local to the individual client computer corresponding to the printing device and containing print jobs generated by the individual client computers(Abstract, Fig.5, col.1, lines 38-65);

initiating a print job at a first client computer, wherein the first client computer is one of the client computer connected to the printing device via the network, and wherein no print server is connected to the network(Abstract, Fig.5, col.1, lines 38-65);

distributively managing the print job and a prioritization of the print job until print of the first client computer sends the print job to the printing device, wherein the distributively managing the print job and a prioritization of the print job(col.2, lines 34-65); and sending the print job from the first client computer device to the printing device after an event(col.2, line 64-col.3, line 25).

Mastie however does not explicitly teach broadcasting an intent to send the print job from the first client computer to the printing device, wherein the intent is broadcast from the first client computer to a plurality of the client computers connected to the

Art Unit: 2100

printing device via the network without including the print job in the broadcast intent; determining whether a response is received by the first client computer from one or more of the plurality of the client computers; receiving no response at the first client computer; and receiving a response at the first client computer from at least one of the plurality of the client computers, followed by receiving a permission to send the print job to the printing device at the first client computer from the at least one of the plurality of the client computers; a response from a second client computer indicating that the second client computer is managing sending of print jobs to the printing device, wherein the response includes one of: an indication that the second client computer has no objection to the first client computer sending the first print job to the printing device; an objection to and denial of the immediate sending of the first print job to the printing device by the first client computer; and an indication that a conflict must be resolved in order to permit the first client computer to send the first print job to the printing device.

Mochizuki teaches broadcasting an intent to send the print job from the first client computer to the printing device, wherein the intent is broadcast from the first client computer to a plurality of the client computers connected to the printing device via the network without including the print job in the broadcast intent(para 0041, broadcast or mulicast printer retrieval packet to retrieval an active printer from the network);

determining whether a response is received by the first client computer from one or more of the plurality of the client computers(para.0043);

receiving no response at the first client computer (para.0043); and receiving a response at the first client computer from at least one of the plurality of the client

Art Unit: 2100

computers, followed by receiving a permission to send the print job to the printing device at the first client computer from the at least one of the plurality of the client computers(para.0043).

a response from a second client computer indicating that the second client computer is managing sending of print jobs to the printing device, wherein the response includes one of: an indication that the second client computer has no objection to the first client computer sending the first print job to the printing device; an objection to and denial of the immediate sending of the first print job to the printing device by the first client computer; and an indication that a conflict must be resolved in order to permit the first client computer to send the first print job to the printing device(para0041-0043)

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Mastie to explicitly broadcast an intent to other network devices and to receive responses from those network devices as taught by Morchizuki in order to provide a system to select an available printer on the network for a print job(Mochizuki, para.0002,0006).

One ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Mastie and Mochizuki in order to provide a system to effectively manage and operate print requests (Mochizuki, para.0006).

Mastie in view of Mochizuki teaches all the limitations of claims 12, 28,40, however does not teach explicitly teach using client computers but instead uses printers in order to perform all the processes.

Application/Control Number: 10/008,423
Art Unit: 2100

Hager teaches a printer with a processor, memory, input device, output device, and display(Fig.2). One ordinary skill in the art would be able modify the teachings and use a computer instead of a printer since the printer has all the functions of a computer.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Mastie in view of Mochizuki to use computers instead of printers as taught by Hager in order to provide a system to select an available printer on the network for a print job(Mochizuki, para.0002,0006).

One ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Mastie, Mochizuki, Hager in order to provide a system to select an available printer on the network for a print job(Mochizuki, para.0002,0006).

As per claim 13, wherein the step for initiating includes the step for determining whether to perform cluster printing, and wherein if the cluster printing is to be performed, utilizing the printing device in performing the cluster printing (Mastie, fig. 3, Mochizuki, para 000043). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 14, wherein the step for initiating includes the step for determining whether to perform intelligent routing, and wherein if the intelligent routing is to be performed, utilizing the printing device in performing the intelligent routing (Mastie, fig. 3, Mochizuki, para 000043). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 15, wherein the step for receiving includes the step for determining whether the first response includes a conflict for despooling the print data to the printing device, and wherein if the conflict is included in the first response, performing the step

Art Unit: 2100

for resolving the conflict (Mastie, col. 7 L47 to col. 8 L6 and fig. 3, Mochizuki, para 000043). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 16, a system for determining whether the first response includes an objection to despooling the print data to the printing device, and wherein if the objection is included in the first response, performing the step for resolving the objection (Mastie, col. 7 L47 to col. 8 L6 and fig. 3, Mochizuki, para 000043). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 17, wherein distributively managing the print job comprises: if no response to the broadcast is received, using the first client computer to manage the print job (Mastie, col. 7 L47 to col. 8 L6 and fig. 3, Mochizuki, para 000043). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claims 20, a method as recited in claim 12, wherein the print job is a first print job, and wherein said distributively managing the print job further comprises: utilizing a second broadcast of an intent to send a second print job to the printing device to determine which of the client computers shall be used to manage the second print job; and ordering the print jobs on a print queue containing information about the first and second print jobs but not the first and second print jobs themselves(Mastie col.7, lines 47-col.8, lines 6, Mochizuki, para.0040-0042.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to send multiple broadcast intent in order to provide a system to print more than document. Application/Control Number: 10/008,423
Art Unit: 2100

One ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Mastie and Mochizuki in order to provide a system to print multiple documents.

As per claim 21, the system wherein distributivly managing the print job is enabled by at least one of a print driver; a print assistant and the spooler (Mastie, fig. 1-2c and col. 1 L23 to col. 2 L33, Mochizuki, para 000043). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 24, wherein said sending the first print job from the first client computer to the printing device further includes setting a status of the print job on the print queue(Mastie, Fig.3, col.7, lines 47-60, Mochizuki, para.006-0014). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 25, wherein data said sending the first print job from the first client computer to the printing device further includes removing a remote entry of the first print job from a remote print queue containing a copy of said information about the first and second print jobs but not the first and second print jobs themselves(Mastie, col. 5 L22-67 and fig. 3, Mochizuki, para 000043). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 26, wherein if the-print data corresponding to the print job is in a printer ready format, the sending the first print job from the first client computer to the printing device further includes using a print processor of the first client computer to send the print data to a port manager of the first client computer device(Mastie, col. 4 L1-21, Mochizuki, para 000043). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

Art Unit: 2100

As per claim 27, wherein if print data corresponding to the print job is in a journaled format, the step for sending the first print job from the first client computer to the printing device further includes: using a print processor of the first client computer to play back the journaled data to a printer driver of the first client computer device; spooling the print data to a spooler of the first client computer; and sending the print data to a port manager of the first client computer(Mastie, col. 3 L61 to col. 4 L67, Mochizuki, para 000043). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 29,41,42,43 wherein said distributively managing the print job further comprises: when a response to the broadcast intent is received by the first client computer performing the steps of: determining whether the response includes a conflict from the one or more of the plurality of client computers to print data send the print job to the printing device, wherein if the conflict is included in the response, resolving the conflict(Mastie, col. 7 L47 to col. 8 L6 and fig. 3); and determining whether the response includes an objection from the one or more of the plurality of client computers to send the print job to the printing device, wherein if the objection is included in the response, resolving the objection(Mastie, col. 7 L47 to col. 8 L6 and fig. 3); and if no response to the broadcast intent is received, using the first client computer to manage the print job(Mastie, col. 7 L47 to col. 8 L6 and fig. 3, Mochizuki, para 000043). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 33, whereina broadcast message is used to perform at least one of: registering one of the plurality of client computers for distributed management of print jobs; indicating an intent to despool the print job; setting a status of a despooled print

Application/Control Number: 10/008,423
Art Unit: 2100

job; obtaining a status of a despooled print job; setting a status of the printing device; obtaining a status of the printing device; requesting print queue information; and requesting a print queue change(Mastie, col. 7 L47-60, Mochizuki, para.006-0014).

Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 35, wherein a broadcast is used to indicate an intent to despool the print job(Matie, col.5, lines 45-61, Mochizuki, para.006-0014). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 36, wherein a broadcast is used to set or check a status of a despooled print job(Mastie, col. 7 L47-60, Mochizuki, para.006-0014). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 37, wherein a broadcast is used to set or get a status of the printing device(Mastie, col. 7 L47-60, Mochizuki, para.006-0014). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 38,47 wherein a broadcast is used to request print queue information(Mastie, Fig.3, col.7, lines 47-60, Mochizuki, para.006-0014). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 39,48 wherein a broadcast is used to request a print queue change(Mastie, col. 7 L47-60, Mochizuki, para.006-0014). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 44, wherein the local print queue of a managing client computer device contains entries corresponding to, but not containing, print jobs of other client computer devices (Mochizuki, para. 0041-42). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

Art Unit: 2100

As per claim 45, wherein the local print queue of the second client computer device includes an entry for a second print job to be printed on the printing device and the second client computer device is configured to manage the printing of the first and second print jobs by: evaluating what type of response should be sent to the broadcast intent for the first print job; and sending a response to the first client computer device, the response selected from the group of: an indication of no objection when the second print job is of a lower priority than the first print job; an objection and denial when the second print job is of a higher priority than the first print job; an objection and denial when the second print job is currently being sent to the printing device; and an indication of a conflict when the second print job and the first print job have equal priority(Mastie, col. 7 L47 to col. 8 L6 and fig. 3, Mochizuki, para 000043). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 46, wherein the first client computer device is configured to send the first print job to the printing device when no response to the broadcast intent is received, when a response indicating no objection is received, when a response indicating an objection is received and the objection is resolved, and when a response indicating a conflict is received and the conflict is resolved ((Mochizuki, para. 0041-42). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 49, wherein the system for distributively managing the sending of print jobs further comprises a broadcast message requesting administrative authority(Mochizuki, para. 0041-42). Motivation set forth in claim 12.

Art Unit: 2100

Claim 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US

Patent 6,498,656 issued to Mastie in view of US Publication 2002/0001495 issued to

Mochizuki in further view of US Patent 5,684,931 issued to Hagar in further view of US

Publication 2003/0160993 issued to Kang.

As per claim 34, Mastie in view of Mochizuki in view of Hagar does not disclose the process wherein the broadcast message is used to register a client computer for distributed management of print jobs.

Kang, from the same field of endeavor discloses the process of registering a client computer for distributed management of print jobs (pg. 3 [0034] and fig. 2).

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mastie in view Mochizuki in further view of Hagar in further view of Kang in order to register client computers for distributed management of print jobs.

One of ordinary skilled in the art would have been motivated because it would have enabled management of the print queues (Kang, [0034]).

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12-17, 20-21, 24-29, 33-49 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 13

Application/Control Number: 10/008,423

Art Unit: 2100

#### Conclusion

Examiner's Note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in its entirety as potentially teaching of all or part of the claimed invention.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Backhean Tiv whose telephone number is (571) 272-5654. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/008,423 Page 14

Art Unit: 2100

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/B. T./
Backhean Tiv
Examiner, Art Unit 2151
4/15/08
/John Follansbee/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2151